Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/849,559	WOMACK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul A. Zucker	1621
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> .	(3)	
(2) Allan A. Fanucci.	(4)	,
Date of Interview: 25 July 2006	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 8,9		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/\$PE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Fanucci to indicate that some 112,2nd paragraph problems existed in claims 8 and 9. Mr. Fanucci agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment of the following form to address these issues: The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 8, line 3: The phrase -- R1 represents a formyl group, a -COCOOH group or a group of formula -(CO)n-R-T, in -- has been inserted between the comma "," and the word "which".

Claim 9, line 2: The phrase [formula I] has been deleted and replaced with the phrase -- formula I-- ...